

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program

December 23, 2008

Reviewed:	TM

Mine Name: Aurora Clay Mine Permit Number: S/041/0033		/0033	
Operator Name: Western Clay Company	Company Inspection Date: November 25, 2008		
Inspector(s): Lynn Kunzler, Wayne Western	Time : 11:45 am		
Other Participants: Cory Thurston, Operator	Mine Status: undergoing reclamation		
Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
 Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls) Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control Deleterious Material Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety) Reclamation Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls, Soils Revegetation Other 			

Purpose of Inspection:

Operator requested site inspection to evaluate regrading work prior to demobilizing equipment

Inspection Summary:

This site was last active in 2004. The annual permit fees are up to date. The Division holds a LOC for \$14,000 from Zion's band as reclamation surety for the site. About 3 acres were disturbed during operations.

This site had been mined and abandoned prior to regulations requiring salvage of topsoil. Western Clay Company decided to reactivate the site in 2002. About 4,000 tons of clay were removed during the next three years. Reclamation of the site included regrading the area and backfilling the pit to the extent practical using old piles of overburden materials and cutting the highwall back. The operator will be seeding the disturbed areas within the next week. At the time of this inspection, most regraded slopes were less than 3h:1v, and blended into the natural surrounding topography. The operator was just finishing ripping the slopes to prepare the roughened seedbed.

Photos were taken to document site conditions.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

Regrading work was acceptable and met DOGM requirements. Although the pit was not completely backfilled, it was backfilled more that was expected, given the limited amount of material on site to do this. The land owner indicated that his cattle can use any water that ponds in the pit like they did prior to reactivation. Once the area is seeded, the operator may request a partial release of the surety. Continue to monitor this site when in the area.

Inspector's Signature

LK:vs

cc: Brad Boyter

 $O: \label{lem:condition} O: \label{lem:condi$



